

John Balley)
 vs) Sum pro. on three notes
 James Martin) In this case the Defendants made the following confession to wit
 I confess Judgment on this process for forty four dollars and twenty
 seven Cents with Interest from the 10.th day of October 1810 & Costs suit Dec.r 30.th
 1811
 Witness J A Whyte Ja.s Martin
 Ordered that the plaintiff have leave to enter up Judgment upon the above Confession.

MS
 135 Samuel Polk)
 vs) Sum pro
 Michael B. Bryan) In this case the Defendant made the following confession to wit
 I confess Judgment in this case for twenty Eight dollars and
 Eighty six Cents with Interest from the 25.th April 1809 & Costs of suit. Stay Execution
 till 1.st July next. February 24.th 1812.
 Witness J A Whyte M B Bryan
 Ordered that the plaintiff have leave to enter up Judgment upon the above confession.

James Gibson)
 vs) Sum pro.
 William Bratton) In this case the Defendant made the following confession to wit.
 I confess Judgment in this case for forty one dollars and eighty eight
 Cents with Interest from the 4.th day of October 1809 Jany. 6.th 1812 & Costs of suit.
 Witness J A Whyte W Bratton
 Ordered that the plaintiff have leave to enter up Judgment upon the above Confession

James Willson Ind. ee)
 of James A Whyte) Sum pro.
 vs) In this Case the Defendant made the following confession to wit
 Alexander Sutton) I Confess Judgment in this case for sixteen dollars and Interest
 from the 4.th day of January 1812. & Costs of suit.
 Witness J A Whyte Alexander Sutton
 Ordered that the plaintiff have leave to enter up Judgment upon the above Confession.

Robert Lessley)
 vs) Sum pro. on award returned
 Nathaniel Henderson) South Carolina York District. Yorkville March 12.th 1812.
 Whereas there are several accounts depending and divers contro-
 versies having arisen between Robert Lessley of the one part, and Nathaniel Henderson
 of the other part And whereas for putting an end to the said difference the said Lassley
 & Henderson by their Several bonds or obligations bearing date the 12.th day of March
 1812. or reciprocally become bound to each other in the sum of one hundred dollars to
 Stand to abide perform and keep the award order and final determination of us the said
 Arbitrators Robert Allison, Joseph Kerr, Jonathan Beatty, John Henry and William McGill
 umpire so as the said award be made in Writing and ready to be delivered on or before
 the fourth monday in the present month Now Know ye that we the said arbitrators whose
 names are hereunto Subscribed and Seals affixed taken on us the burden of the said
 award, and having fully examined and duly considered the proofs of both the said parties
 do make and publish this our award in manner following Viz. We do award and order that
 Nathaniel Henderson Defendant do pay to Robert Lessley plaintiff the sum of twenty Eight
 dollars ninety three Cents together with what costs have already accrued on the process
 in Court.
 In Witness whereof we have hereunto set our hands & affixed our Seals the Year and day
 above written

Rob.t Allison (Seal)
 Jos. Kerr (Seal)
 Jon.a Beatty (Seal)
 John Henry (Seal)
 W.m McGill (Seal)

MS
 136 Jesse Edwards)
 vs) Sum pro on note
 Robert Neeley) In this Case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
 James Robison &) Let the plaintiff take Judgment for fifty dollars with Interest from
 Robert Robison) the 25.th day of December 1811 & Costs by default.

Mary Steele adm x &)
 Jos. Steele adm.r of James)
 Steele deceased) Sum. pro. on note
 vs) In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit.
 John Davison &) Let the plaintiffs take Judgment against John B. Black one
 John B. Black) of the Defendants for fifty seven dollars and twenty three
 Cents with interest from 25.th March 1811 by default

William Chambers)
 vs) Sum pro. on note.
 William Adams) In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit.
 Let the plaintiff take Judgment for fifty five dollars with Inter-
 est from 19.th November 1811 by default.

James Ditty)
 vs) Sum. pro. on a/c and on award returned
 John Wallace) We the Subscribing arbitrators indifferently chosen by said parties
 having heard and considered the evidence adduced by said parties do
 award that the Defendant is indebted to the plaintiff twenty nine dollars & ten Cents
 for the balance due of a still & Costs of suit, Given under our hands the 4.th February
 1812.
 John Blair
 Jon.a Beatty

George Lewis)
 vs) Sum. pro. on note
 Eli Bannister) In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit