

October Term 1814

The Court then Ordered a Writ of Venire facias to issue to the sheriff commanding him to summon the several persons before named to serve at the next Term as Petit and common plea Jurors.

The Court then Ordered the Petit and common plea Jurors to be called who were summoned to appear at this Term and the following persons answered to their names to wit

1 John Yarborough	14 Arthur Robertson	27 Zepheniah Dover
2 Thomas Kennedy	15 George Plaxco	28 David Barr
3 Joseph Adams	16 William Thompson	29 John Watson
4 James Martin	17 Michael B. Briant	30 James Corothers
5 James Morrow	18 Jonathan Briant	31 Thomas Gray
6 James Lindsay excused	19 John Boyd	32 Robert G. Ried
7 Robert Ash	20 Zaddoc Darby	33 James McKee
8 William Adams	21 James Wood	34 Benjamin Dowdle
9 William Ardery	22 Joseph Collins	35 John Plaxco
10 John McConnell	23 John Randal	36 Thomas Hogg
11 William Neeley	24 John Hullinder	37 Nicholas Sandlin
12 Herman Alexander	25 George Whisenhunt	38 Elias Garrison
13 William Hogge.	26 John Mooney	

And the following persons not answering to their names were noted as defaulters to wit Sam. l D. Alexander, Thomas Williams, Philip Preator, Robert Love, Hugh Cain, & James Henry

Out of the number who appeared the Court ordered two Juries to be drawn and the following were drawn to serve on the Jury number One (1) to wit.

1 William Thompson	5 James Martin	9 William Hogge
2 William Neeley	6 Thomas Hogg	10 John Yarborough
3 Herman Alexander	7 John Hullinder	11 Thomas Kennedy
4 Elias Garrison	8 Arthur Robertson	12 Joseph Adams

And the following were drawn to serve on the Jury number two (2) to wit

1 George Plaxco foreman	5 Zaddoc Darby	9 John Randal
2 George Whisenhunt	6 John Mooney	10 James McKee
3 John Boyd	7 William Ardery	11 John McConnell
4 Nicholas Sandlin	8 James Wood	12 Joseph Collins

The Court then appointed William Thompson foreman of the Jury number one (1) and George Plaxco foreman of the Jury number two (2) and both Juries were then sworn to try all such issues and execute all Writs of Enquiry that should be brought before them during the sitting of the present Term, agreeably to the Acts of the General Assembly in such case made and provided.

Vincent Cowan et als)

ads

Trespass

David Leech Sen. r

On Motion of M. r Johnson attorney for the Defendants, Ordered that the orders for Judgment in this case be vacated and that the Defendant have leave to plead, and that case be transferred to the issue Dockett

Thomas McCluney)

vs

Sum pro.

Andrew McCully)

In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
Let the plaintiff take Judgment for forty six dollars and twenty five Cents with interest from the 25. th day of December 1809.

Jno. McKain

M. r Sanderson

M. r Thompson

John Marley

vs

Sum pro

James Martin)

In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
Decree for the Defendant.

Com. read

Geo. Ross

James A. Whyte

John Findley

vs

Sum pro. on a/c. on award returned

Hugh Bratton

In pursuance of the within rule of Court to us directed we David Sadler, Samuel Givens & Reuben McConnell have examined the account rendered to us by the parties, and do award that the sum of three dollars and sixty four Cents is due to said Hugh Bratton from said John Findley and that said Findley pays the cost of suit
Witness our hands and Seals this 16. th day of April 1814.

David Sadler (L S)

Samuel Givens (L S)

Reuben McConnell (L S)

Joseph Ried

vs

Sum pro.

Joseph Howell)

In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
Let the plaintiff take Judgment for Sixty seven dollars forty five Cents with interest from 24. th February 1814

Charles Oneale admor)

vs

Sum pro. on account

Robert Murphey)

In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
Let the plaintiff take Judgment for twenty four dollars twelve and a half Cents & Costs

Dudley Bishop

vs

Sum pro.

R. G. Ried)

In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
Let the plaintiff take Judgment for thirty one dollars and twenty five Cents with interest from eighth August 1814

Martin Elliott)

vs

Sum pro. on note.

Peter A Green)

In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
Let the plaintiff take Judgment for forty five dollars with interest

MS
221